PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D .1.4 OCT 2004

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	t's or age 1/P8022\	nt's file reference NO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
	onal applic		International filing date (daylmor	nth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09.07.2002
	B 03/029		08.07.2003		09.07.2002
Internatio A61B18		nt Classification (IPC) or	both national classification and IPC		
Applicant BARTS	nt S AND T	HE LONDON NHS	S TRUST ET AL.		
1. Th	his intern uthority a	ational preliminary ex and is transmitted to t	kamination report has been prepared to Article	ared by this Inte 36.	ernational Preliminary Examining
2. Tł	his REPO	ORT consists of a total	al of 6 sheets, including this cove	er sheet.	
×	hoor	amonded and are th	panied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets ne basis for this report and/or she tion 607 of the Administrative Ins	ets containing	ion, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Authority the PCT).
т.	•	nexes consist of a total			
11					
	his repor	t contains indications	relating to the following items:		
3. TI	his repoi⊠	t contains indications Basis of the opinion	relating to the following items:		
3. TI I II	his repor	t contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority	relating to the following items:	inventive sten	and industrial applicability
3. TI I II	his repor	t contains indications Basis of the opinior Priority Non-establishment	relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty,	, inventive step	and industrial applicability
3. TI I II	his repor	t contains indications Basis of the opinior Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve	s relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty,	ard to novelty, i	and industrial applicability nventive step or industrial applicability;
3. TI I II III	This report	t contains indications Basis of the opinior Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve	e relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regnations supporting such statemen	ard to novelty, i	
3. TI II III IV V	This report	t contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invented in the second statement citations and explain comments	e relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regnations supporting such statemen	ard to novelty, i	
3. TI II II V V	This report	t contains indications Basis of the opinior Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invented statement Reasoned statement citations and explain Certain documents Certain defects in the	or relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with reginations supporting such statementied	ard to novelty, i nt	
3. TI II III IV V V	This report	t contains indications Basis of the opinior Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invented statement Reasoned statement citations and explain Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with regard to novelty, ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regnations supporting such statement cited the international application as on the international application	ard to novelty, i nt	nventive step or Industrial applicability;
3. TI II III IV V V	This report	t contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve Reasoned stateme citations and explai Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with regard to novelty, ention not under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regardions supporting such statement cited the international application as on the international application.	ard to novelty, i	nventive step or Industrial applicability;
3. TI II II IV V V V Date of 09.02.	This report This	t contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invented in the citations and explain Certain documents Certain defects in the certain observation on of the demand g address of the internating authority:	of opinion with regard to novelty, ention Int under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regnations supporting such statement cited International application Ins on the international application Instantional Authorities Instantional Authorities Instantional Inst	ard to novelty, int	nventive step or Industrial applicability;
3. TI II II IV V V V Date of 09.02.	This report	t contains indications Basis of the opinior Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve Reasoned stateme citations and explai Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation on of the demand	of opinion with regard to novelty, ention Int under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to supporting such statement cited The international application as on the international application Date 15.1 Itional P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 Is Bas May	ard to novelty, int	nventive step or Industrial applicability;

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02948

I.	Basis	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages						
	1-8		as originally filed					
	Clai	ms, Numbers						
	1-11		received on 14.07.2004 with letter of 14.07.2004					
	Drav	wings, Sheets						
	1/1		as originally filed					
2.	With lang	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the anguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		the language of a tra	inslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of publi	ublication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	inslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).					
3.	With inte	n regard to any nucle rnational preliminary (otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.					
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.					
☐ furnished subsequently to t			ntly to this Authority in written form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.						
4. The amendments have			esulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02948

5.		This report has been establish been considered to go beyond	ed as it I the dis	f (some of) t sclosure as	he amendments filed (Rule 70.2(c)	had not been made, since they have)).	€
		(Any replacement sheet conta report.)	ining st	uch amendn	nents must be ret	ferred to under item 1 and annexed	to this
6.	Add	litional observations, if necessa	ıry:				
IJ.	Nor	n-establishment of opinion w	ith reg	ard to nove	Ity, inventive ste	ep and industrial applicability	
1.	The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international applica	ition,				
	☒	claims Nos. 9-11					
		because:					
	×	ne said international application, or the said claims Nos. 9-11 relate to the following subject matter which oes not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
		see separate sheet					
		the description, claims or draw that no meaningful opinion co	vings <i>(i</i> uld be l	indicate part formed (spe	icular elements b cify):	<i>elow)</i> or said claims Nos. are so und	olear
		the claims, or said claims Noscould be formed.	s. are s	o inadequat	ely supported by	the description that no meaningful o	pinion
	\boxtimes	no international search report	has be	en establisł	ned for the said cl	laims Nos. 9-11	
A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleo or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:					out due to the failure of the nucleotic or in Annex C of the Administrative	le and	
\square the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.			he Standard.				
		the computer readable form I	nas not	been furnis	ned or does not c	omply with the Standard.	
V.	. Re	asoned statement under Arti ations and explanations sup	cle 35(porting	2) with rega	ard to novelty, in ment	nventive step or industrial applica	bility;
1.	Sta	atement					
	No	velty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-8		
	inv	rentive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-8		
	Inc	lustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-8		
2	. Cit	ations and explanations					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02948

see separate sheet

Cited Documents

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 99 07315 A (LUND INSTR AB ;BOLMSJOE MAGNUS (SE)) 18 February 1999 (1999-02-18)

D2: WO 01 98764 A (FENN ALAN J ; CELSION CORP (US); MON JOHN (US)) 27

December 2001 (2001-12-27)

D3: US-A-5 129 396 (WALINSKY PAUL ET AL) 14 July 1992 (1992-07-14)

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial

Method claims 9-11 define methods for treatment of the human or animal body by therapy or surgery practised on the human or animal body. Therefore no search has been performed for the subject matter of these claims (see Article 17 (2) PCT and Rule 39.1.(iv) PCT) and no preliminary international examination is required for the subject-matter of these method claims (see Article 34 (4) (a) (l) PCT and Rule 67.1 (iv) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

V.1 The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(3), because the subject matter of independent claim 1 does not appear to involve an inventive step as defined by the PCT regulations.

Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses an apparatus for heat ablation of the internal wall of a hollow organ, which apparatus comprises:

a catheter (12) having proximal and distal ends, and having at least one internal lumen; a balloon (11) located at the distal end of the catheter and attached to said lumen; whereby the balloon may be filled with a liquid from the proximal end of the catheter; a supply of a liquid for filling the balloon via the said lumen;

a tuned microwave antenna (10) located in the region of the balloon for radiating microwave energy at a predetermined frequency to heat the balloon to a temperature suitable for heat ablation of the hollow organ wall tissue;

a waveguide for supplying microwave energy to the microwave antenna; and a temperature probe (37) to measure the temperature of the balloon

(cf. p.3,l.19 - p.4,l.4; fig.1)

from which the subject-matter of claim 1 differs in that:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB 03/02948

the apparatus comprises a former to centralize the antenna;

the liquid has a dielectric constant of from 41 to 63 and a conductivity of from 1.0 S/m to 1.5 S/m at said frequency and 50°C.

The problem can be seen in a uniform energy distribution and a better matching of the liquid with the treated tissue for less heating of the waveguide.

The solution given in claim 1 cannot be considered inventive for the following reasons:

The main embodiment described in D1 is designed for treatment of the prostate. However also other forms of treatment are addressed, like for instant the treatment of the oesophagus or other tubular organs. In the embodiment shown in fig. 2 the antenna is offset (not centralized) from the container to protect the rectum. However in other treatments (like the treatment of the oesophagus or a blood vessel) the skilled person would not have to protect the rectum and therefore would position the antenna in the center of the container or balloon with a former like the one disclosed in D3 (cf. col. 4, I.29-32; fig. 1C).

Furthermore, in D1 the liquid is water or saline. Hence the values of the dielectric constant and the conductivity are slightly different. Additionally the fluid in container 11 is said to have the same characteristics as the treated prostate tissue (or other water rich tissue) for a perfect impedance match. In D2 the values for dielectric constant and conductivity at 915 MHZ of prostatic tissue are given as 50 and 1.3 S/m respectively.

Therefore the skilled person would fill the container of D1 with a liquid exhibiting these physical values to achieve a perfect impedance match and hence arrive at an apparatus according to claim 1.

V.2 Dependent claims

claim 6:

Dependent claims 2-8 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to inventive step, the reasons being as follows:

the features of these claims are disclosed in D2; claims 2.3:

D1 also mentions treatment of the oesophagus (cf. p.1,1.14), the skilled claim 4,5:

man would therefore know the dimensions for treatment of the same;

D1 does not mention the use of metal and it would be clear for the skilled person that the use of a metal object in the vicinity of the antenna would

influence the heating pattern;

the use of optical fiber probes for temperature measurement and power claims 7,8:

control is known in the art and hence not inventive;